`` Application Number	Re		Applicant(s)/Patent (Reexamination (AWASAKI ET AL.	under		
Document Code - DISQ		Internal Do	cument – DC	cument – DO NOT MAIL		
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER	⊠ APPROVED		☐ DISAPPI	☐ DISAPPROVED		
Date Filed : December 7, 2005	This patent is subject to a Terminal Disclaimer					
Approved/Disapproved by:						
Henry D. Jefferson						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcond double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).	e use the appropriate f you disagree MEMO ONLY. your action is complete,				
PARALEGAL SPCECIALIST Drop-Off Location PARALEGAL SPCECIALIST Drop-Off Location SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer(T.D.) filed: INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL INTER	e use the appropriate f you disagree MEMO ONLY. your action is complete,				
INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When you please initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not state his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcond double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).	f you disagree MEMO ONLY. your action is complete,				
form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL	f you disagree MEMO ONLY. your action is complete,				
The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the appli use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not sta his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overco double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).					
The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The TD fee ofhas not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not statisfy his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the inthe application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcond double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).					
The TD fee ofhas not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the appli use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not statisfyher interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the interest of the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcond double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).					
use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not state his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the interest of the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcond double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).					
his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcond double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).	ication file for the				
double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).					
The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer	ome a non-statutory				
	The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).				
The person who signed the T.D.:	The person who signed the T.D.:				
is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).					
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).					
is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).					
No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the C (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specify frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application	Office ying of the reel and				
The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).					
The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).	for the double				
The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue can disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).	The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being				
The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).					
Other:					
Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to d and do not check this item.	Ø.				
have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.	<u></u>				
Ex.Initials: Date: Log Date	<u></u>				